	Case 2:20-cv-02515-JAM-DB Document	t 106 I	Filed 09/03/21	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	DEREK MATTHEWS,	No.	2:20-cv-2515 J	AM DB P	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.	OR	<u>DER</u>		
14	EDMUND G. BROWN, et al.,				
15	Defendants.				
16]			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief				
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to				
19	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.				
20	On June 9, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which				
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the				
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has filed				
23	objections to the findings and recommendations. Defendants have filed a response.				
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this				
25	court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the				
26	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper				
27	analysis.				
28	/////				
		1			

	Case 2:20-cv-02515-JAM-DB Document 106 Filed 09/03/21 Page 2 of 2				
1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:				
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed June 9, 2021 (ECF No. 100), are adopted in				
3	full;				
4	2. The motion to dismiss (ECF No. 77) filed by defendant Pinchback based on failure to				
5	exhaust administrative remedies is denied;				
6	3. The motion to dismiss (ECF No. 58) filed by defendants Walker, Huff, Jackson,				
7	Sysombath and Tout is granted in part and denied in part:				
8	a. Denied to the extent it is premised on failure to exhaust administrative				
9	remedies;				
10	b. Denied to the extent it is based on failure to state a claim under the Fourth				
11	Amendment against defendants Sysombath and Tout and defendants' assertion				
12	of qualified immunity from suit on the Fourth Amendment claim against				
13	defendants Sysombath and Tout; and				
14	c. Granted as to all other causes of action against defendants Sysombath, Tout,				
15	Walker, Huff, and Jackson.				
16	4. This case proceeds on plaintiff's claims against defendants Pinchback, Sysombath and				
17	Tout as set forth herein.				
18					
19	Dated: September 3, 2021 /s/ John A. Mendez				
20	THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ				
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					